



Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 11-04

Proration of Loggerhead Sea Turtle
(Caretta caretta) Interactions in
US Mid-Atlantic Bottom Otter Trawls
for Fish and Scallops, 2005–2008,
by Managed Species Landed

by Melissa L. Warden

Proration of Loggerhead Sea Turtle
(*Caretta caretta*) Interactions in
US Mid-Atlantic Bottom Otter Trawls
for Fish and Scallops, 2005–2008,
by Managed Species Landed

by Melissa L. Warden

Integrated Statistics, 16 Sumner St., Woods Hole, MA 02543

Contact address: NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service
Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543

US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Fisheries Service
Northeast Fisheries Science Center
Woods Hole, Massachusetts

March 2011

Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Documents

This series is a secondary scientific series designed to assure the long-term documentation and to enable the timely transmission of research results by Center and/or non-Center researchers, where such results bear upon the research mission of the Center (see the outside back cover for the mission statement). These documents receive internal scientific review, and most receive copy editing. The National Marine Fisheries Service does not endorse any proprietary material, process, or product mentioned in these documents.

All documents issued in this series since April 2001, and several documents issued prior to that date, have been copublished in both paper and electronic versions. To access the electronic version of a document in this series, go to <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>. The electronic version is available in PDF format to permit printing of a paper copy directly from the Internet. If you do not have Internet access, or if a desired document is one of the pre-April 2001 documents available only in the paper version, you can obtain a paper copy by contacting the senior Center author of the desired document. Refer to the title page of the document for the senior Center author's name and mailing address. If there is no Center author, or if there is corporate (*i.e.*, non-individualized) authorship, then contact the Center's Woods Hole Laboratory Library (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026).

Editorial Treatment: To distribute this report quickly, it has not undergone the normal technical and copy editing by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center's (NEFSC's) Editorial Office as have most other issues in the NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE series. Other than the four covers and first two preliminary pages, all writing and editing have been performed by the authors listed within. This report was reviewed by the Stock Assessment Review Committee, a panel of assessment experts from the Center for Independent Experts (CIE), University of Miami.

Information Quality Act Compliance: In accordance with section 515 of Public Law 106-554, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center completed both technical and policy reviews for this report. These predissemination reviews are on file at the NEFSC Editorial Office.

This document may be cited as:

Warden, ML. 2011. Proration of loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) interactions in U.S. Mid-Atlantic bottom otter trawls for fish and scallops, 2005–2008, by managed species landed. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 11-04; 8 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>

PURPOSE

Warden (in review) estimated an annual average of 292 observable (i.e., via standard fisheries observer protocols) loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) interactions with bottom otter trawl gear for fish and scallops during 2005–2008, with an additional estimated annual average of 61 loggerhead interactions that were unobservable but quantifiable (i.e., they were estimated to have passed through a turtle excluder device [TED] at depth). To support Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultations for Fishery Management Plans (FMPs), the Northeast Regional Office (NERO) has requested information on these estimated loggerhead interactions in relation to all managed species landed on the commercial bottom trawl trips. This document provides the supplemental information requested.

Fisheries observer sampling and analysis of sea turtle interactions are not normally done at the FMP level. Fishing vessels are selected randomly for observer coverage, which is typically allocated by month and port in proportion to fishing effort. Estimation of turtle interaction rates and magnitude is most often done by gear type, taking into account temporal and spatial patterns of fishing, environmental factors, and fishing gear characteristics. The resulting estimates need to be reported in a manner consistent with the needs of Section 7 consultations on FMPs.

Reporting turtle interactions by all individual species landed differs from the previous approach for trawl fisheries (Murray 2008), in which interactions were assigned to the single species (or species group) with the largest amount (by weight) landed on a trip. That approach may underrepresent landed species that do not account for the largest share of the landed weight on a trip. The weight-based approach used in this report is similar to that for Mid-Atlantic gillnet fisheries (Murray 2009) and accounts for all managed species landed on a trip, regardless of their quantity.

METHODS

Warden (in review) used 1996–2008 Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) data from the Mid-Atlantic (i.e., south of Cape Cod, Massachusetts, to the North Carolina/South Carolina border) to develop a generalized additive model (GAM) describing loggerhead interaction rates (i.e., loggerheads per day fished, which is 24 hours with nets in the water) as a function of latitude, sea surface temperature, and bottom depth. These interaction rates were applied to Vessel Trip Report (VTR) days fished to estimate total interactions on each VTR trip. In the present report, the total loggerhead interactions on each trip were assigned to the individual managed species that were landed on the trip, as reported in VTR data. If multiple species were landed, the estimated interactions per trip were prorated across the managed species based on the proportion (by weight) of the species landings on the trip. If unmanaged species were landed, estimated interactions were apportioned among only the managed species on the trip, effectively distributing the contribution of unmanaged species (which are often retained nontarget catch) among the managed species. If only unmanaged species were landed, then estimated interactions were apportioned to the unmanaged species as an aggregate “other” group. (Managed species with total landings <0.5 metric tons [t] for 2005–2008 also contributed to the “other” group.) For instance, if a vessel landed 800 pounds of monkfish, 150 pounds of skate, and 50 pounds of bluefish, the estimated number of loggerheads for that trip would be apportioned among the three species, with monkfish receiving 80% ($100 \times 800 / 1000$) of the total. If the final 50 pounds instead represented an unmanaged species (e.g., sea robins) then the estimated loggerhead interactions for the trip would be distributed among the two managed species, with monkfish receiving 84% ($100 \times 800 / 950$). If the entire 1000 pounds was comprised

of unmanaged species, then the “other” group would receive 100% of the estimated loggerhead interactions. This quantitative method incorporates NERO’s request to allocate all estimated loggerhead interactions to species with federal or state management plans whenever possible.

Total estimated interactions in the present report are based on VTR days fished as reported by fishers, and on VTR landings that were adjusted for underreporting according to dealer-reported landings in the Commercial Fisheries Database System (CFDBS). For species-level records that matched between the two databases according to the vessel permit number, the VTR serial number, and the species, CFDBS landings replaced reported VTR landings if the VTR and CFDBS landings differed.

Estimated interactions by managed species landed

For each VTR fishing trip i , Warden (in review) estimated total loggerhead interactions (B_i) for the trip. For the present report, loggerhead interactions for managed¹ species j on trip i (B_{ij}) were determined by multiplying B_i by the proportion of adjusted landings of managed species j caught on trip i :

$$B_{ij} = B_i * T_{ij}/T_i \quad (1)$$

where T_{ij} is the metric tons of managed species j landed on trip i , and T_i is the total metric tons of managed species landed on trip i .

Total estimated loggerhead interactions for species j over all bottom trawl trips for fish and scallops from 2005 to 2008 ($N=86,819$) was then:

$$B_j = \sum_{i=1}^N B_{ij} \quad , \quad (2)$$

which was done separately by year to obtain annual estimates and divided by 4 to obtain an average annual estimate.

Bootstrap resampling was used to derive a coefficient of variation (CV) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the average annual interactions during 2005–2008. Bootstrap replicates were generated by sampling hauls with replacement 1000 times from the original observer dataset, and the replicate datasets were used to reparameterize the preferred model. Each model was then applied to the VTR effort data to estimate total interactions, which were then apportioned among the managed species landed on the trip. A CV for each species was computed by dividing the standard deviation of the replicate interaction estimates by the mean, while the 95% CI was the middle 95% of the distribution of the interaction estimates.

This process was done for 1) the collective group of observable plus unobservable but quantifiable interactions, and 2) for observable interactions only.

RESULTS

From 2005 to 2008, fishers reported on Vessel Trip Reports approximately 135 fish and invertebrate species landed. Unmanaged species (or managed species with 2005–2008 landings <0.5 t) (Appendix) constituted approximately 0.4% of total landings (with an annual average of

¹or “other” species (which includes unmanaged species) if no managed species were landed

270 t; Table 1). Nearly all (98.5%, or 266 annual average t) unmanaged landings occurred on trips that also landed managed species, resulting in the apportioning of 4 total (observable + unobservable but quantifiable) loggerhead interactions from unmanaged species to managed species (2 to summer flounder, 1 to croaker, and 1 to horseshoe crab). For observable interactions only, 3 loggerheads were apportioned from unmanaged species to managed species (2 to summer flounder and 1 to croaker). No estimated loggerhead interactions were associated with the small portion (1.5%, or 4 annual average t) of unmanaged landings that were reported on trips with no managed species landed.

The largest proportion of estimated total loggerhead interactions was attributed to VTR landings of summer flounder (22%), followed by scallops (20%) and croaker (14%) (Table 1). The largest proportion of the estimated observable loggerhead interactions was attributed to VTR landings of scallops (25%), followed by croaker (18%) and summer flounder (15%). An annual average of 3 loggerhead interactions were assigned to trips reported in the VTR data as having no landed catch, which constituted 0.16% of the reported days fished.

DISCUSSION

Loggerhead interaction rates in Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl gear for fish and scallops are correlated with the bottom depth, sea surface temperature, and latitude fished, with the highest interaction rates associated with shallow, warm, southern waters (Warden in review). Fisheries operating in times and areas having some likelihood of loggerhead interactions may have no documented interactions due to little or no observer coverage (Murray 2008) or the effect of random sampling of rare events. However, the approach taken in Warden (in review) explicitly recognizes that environmental factors are correlated with estimated interaction rates on individual fishing trips, and the present paper apportions the estimated loggerhead interactions among the individual species on each trip. This approach accounts for all managed species landed on a trip, rather than merely the target (or principal) species landed. As a weight-based approach, it could potentially assign a large portion of estimated interactions on a trip to a species with a single heavy individual landed (e.g., a shark). Similarly, on any given fishing trip, the primary species by weight might be different from the primary species in terms of value to the fisher.

During 2005–2008, approximately 1% of total VTR days fished occurred on trips with TED requirements. In the Mid-Atlantic study area, TEDs were required only in the summer flounder fishery prosecuted between Cape Charles, Virginia, and the North Carolina/South Carolina border (with an exemption from 15 January to 15 March for trawlers north of Oregon Inlet, North Carolina). Trips for which the primary species landed by weight was summer flounder accounted for about 24% of total VTR days fished, and among those trips, approximately 4% of days fished occurred on trips with TED requirements. The number of estimated TED-passed loggerheads is reflected in the difference between total and observable interactions attributed to the summer flounder fishery. Species that are commonly landed along with summer flounder, such as croaker, bluefish, and horseshoe crab, also show differences between estimated total and observable loggerhead interactions.

Summer flounder, sea scallops, and croaker accounted for the bulk of the fishing effort in shallow (≤ 50 m), warm (>15 °C), southern ($\leq 39^\circ\text{N}$) waters in terms of both days fished and metric tons landed. On VTR trips in these waters with the highest loggerhead interaction rates, 52% of days fished occurred on trips for which the main species landed by weight was summer flounder, 24% on trips for which the main species was sea scallops, and 12% on trips for which

the main species was croaker. In terms of metric tons landed, croaker comprised 71%, summer flounder 10%, and scallops 8% of total landings. Scallop landings decreased by about half from 2005 to 2008, and the decline in fishing effort is reflected in the decline in loggerhead interactions that were attributed to the fishery.

Predicted loggerhead interactions attributed to the horseshoe crab fishery might be underestimated because horseshoe crab landings are underrepresented in VTR data. For biomedical use, horseshoe crabs are captured, bled, and released with no VTR reporting requirement. The effort in terms of days fished on trips landing horseshoe crabs would be required to be reported if a federally managed species was also landed on the trip, but it is difficult to determine how much effort was unreported. To characterize the size of the biomedical fishery, in 2007 and 2008, about 500,000 horseshoe crabs annually were brought to biomedical facilities coastwide, with >400,000 harvested for biomedical purposes only (the remainder were then used for bait) (ASFMC 2010).

The blue crab fishery is an example for which no loggerhead interactions were recorded on observed tows landing that species, but a very small portion of the fishery was observed (<0.001% of landings), likely due to low observer coverage in inshore waters (i.e., nonoceanic coastal fishing waters). VTR trips landing blue crabs were primarily operating in North Carolina inshore waters in the fall and winter. The loggerhead interactions attributed to the blue crab fishery in 2005, however, are much higher than in other years because most of the VTR trips in 2005 occurred during the summer, when waters were warmer and loggerheads were more likely to be present.

Confidence intervals for each species landed are relevant to the average annual loggerhead interactions from 2005–2008. Since the variability of estimated loggerhead interactions attributed to an individual species in any given year is likely to be higher than that associated with the average, the confidence intervals for annual estimates would likely be wider than the confidence interval for the annual average. Thus, annual estimates would not necessarily be expected to fall within the average annual confidence interval 95% of the time. For instance, the average annual total interaction estimate attributed to the croaker fishery is 70 loggerheads (95% CI 51–91), although in 3 of 4 years, the annual estimates fell outside that average annual confidence interval. If these interaction estimates are updated approximately every five years, then future levels of loggerhead interactions can be evaluated by comparing the average annual estimates and CIs reported in this paper to the future average annual estimates and CIs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to K Murray for developing the FMP allocation procedure used in the present paper, to K Bisack for preparing VTR data, and to H Haas, M Colligan, E Keane, C Upite, and M Simpkins for input on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

ASMFC (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission). 2010. 2010 Review of the fishery management plan in 2009 for horseshoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*). 14 p. Available from: <http://www.asmfc.org>.

Murray KT. 2008. Estimated average annual bycatch of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in US Mid-Atlantic bottom otter trawl gear, 1996-2004 (2nd edition). US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 08-20, 32 p. Available from: www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/crd/crd0820.

Murray KT. 2009. Characteristics and magnitude of sea turtle bycatch in U.S. Mid-Atlantic gillnet gear. *Endang Species Res* 8:211–224.

Warden ML. In review. Loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) interactions with U.S. Mid-Atlantic bottom trawl gear for fish and scallops, 2005–2008.

Table 1. Estimated total (observable + unobservable but quantifiable) loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) interactions as attributed to managed species landed. For species that were rarely or never landed on trips with turtle excluder device (TED) requirements, observable interactions will be equal to observable + unobservable but quantifiable interactions. For species that were sometimes landed on trips with TED requirements, the observable interactions only are also shown (the difference being the estimated number of loggerheads that passed through TEDs at depth). CC = *Caretta caretta*, CV = coefficient of variation, CI = 95% confidence interval. Note: due to rounding, columns might not sum to reported column totals.

Managed species	Average annual adjusted VTR landings (t)	Estimated CC interactions				Average annual		
		2005	2006	2007	2008	CC	CV	CI
Blue Crab	48	59	0	0	9	17	0.5	3-35
Bluefish	418	5	3	4	3	4	0.15	3-5
observable only		2	3	3	3	3	0.17	2-4
Coastal Migratory Pelagics	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	0
Croaker	3220	37	96	55	93	70	0.14	51-91
observable only		34	93	49	88	66	0.15	47-86
Dolphin/Wahoo	<0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.45	0
Flounder (other)	45	2	1	3	4	2	0.13	2-3
Herring	2365	0	0	0	0	0	0.53	0
Highly Migratory Species	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.18	0
Horseshoe Crab	136	19	4	4	2	7	0.16	5-9
observable only		4	3	2	2	3	0.22	2-4
Invertebrates	8	0	0	0	0	0	0.15	0
Lobster	22	0	0	0	0	0	0.39	0
Mackerel, Squid, Butterfish	29 736	13	26	32	28	25	0.24	13-37
Mackerel	4140	0	0	0	0	0	0.55	0
Squid (Illex)	12 928	2	0	0	1	1	0.44	0-2
Squid (Loligo)	12 252	10	24	29	26	23	0.25	12-33
Squid (Unc)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	0
Butterfish	414	1	1	2	1	1	0.22	1-2
Menhaden	50	0	0	0	1	0	0.3	0
Monkfish	938	2	2	3	2	2	0.21	1-3
NE Multispecies	4602	4	5	7	6	5	0.3	3-9
Red Crab	<0.5	0	0	0	0	0	1.18	0
Red Drum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.23	0
Sea Scallop	5643	172	153	31	25	95	0.22	60-140
Seatrout	34	0	0	0	0	0	0.29	0
Shad & River Herring	31	0	0	0	0	0	0.42	0
Shrimp, Northern	4	0	0	0	3	1	0.46	0-1

Table 1, continued. Estimated total (observable + unobservable but quantifiable) loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) interactions as attributed to managed species landed. For species that were rarely or never landed on trips with turtle excluder device (TED) requirements, observable interactions will be equal to observable + unobservable but quantifiable interactions. For species that were sometimes landed on trips with TED requirements, the observable interactions only are also shown (the difference being the estimated number of loggerheads that passed through TEDs at depth). CC = *Caretta caretta*, CV = coefficient of variation, CI = 95% confidence interval. Note: due to rounding, columns might not sum to reported column totals.

Managed species	Average annual adjusted VTR landings (t)	Estimated CC interactions				Average annual		
		2005	2006	2007	2008	CC	CV	CI
Skates	6290	8	10	6	5	7	0.23	4-11
Smooth Dogfish	70	1	1	2	1	1	0.18	1-2
Snapper/Grouper	8	0	1	2	0	1	0.15	0-1
observable only		0	1	1	0	0	0.16	0
Spiny Dogfish	112	0	0	0	0	0	0.34	0
Spot	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.17	0
Striped Bass	62	0	0	1	1	1	0.27	0-1
observable only		0	0	1	0	0	0.28	0-1
Summer Flounder, Scup, Black Sea Bass	7799	130	115	117	79	110	0.13	83-139
observable only		83	59	65	32	60	0.14	44-77
Summer Flounder	4957	128	112	115	78	108	0.13	81-136
observable only		81	56	63	31	58	0.14	42-74
Scup	2447	2	2	2	1	1	0.37	1-3
Black Sea Bass	394	1	1	1	0	1	0.26	0-1
Tautog	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.35	0
Tilefish	12	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0
Weakfish	82	1	1	0	0	1	0.15	0-1
Other	270	0	0	0	0	0	0.23	0
No catch	0	0	3	0	0	1	0.27	0-1
Total	62 039	455	422	268	265	352	0.12	276-439
observable only		386	361	207	211	292	0.13	221-369

APPENDIX

List of unmanaged species (or managed species with <0.5 m tons landed) reported on Vessel Trip Reports for 2005–2008 Mid-Atlantic bottom otter trawls for fish and scallops

bonito, Atlantic
cunner
cusk
cutlassfish (ribbonfish)
dogfish, chain
eel, American
eel, conger
eel, unclassified
escolar
fish, other
hagfish
harvestfishes
john dory
lumpfish
mackerel, chub
mackerel, frigate
mulletts
mummichog
perch, white
puffer, northern
quahogs
rosefish, black bellied
salmon, Atlantic
scads, rough
scallops, calico
sculpins
sea raven
sea robins
shad, gizzard
spadefish
toadfishes
whelk
whiting, king
wolffish, Atlantic

Procedures for Issuing Manuscripts in the *Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document (CRD) Series*

Clearance

All manuscripts submitted for issuance as CRDs must have cleared the NEFSC's manuscript/abstract/webpage review process. If any author is not a federal employee, he/she will be required to sign an "NEFSC Release-of-Copyright Form." If your manuscript includes material from another work which has been copyrighted, then you will need to work with the NEFSC's Editorial Office to arrange for permission to use that material by securing release signatures on the "NEFSC Use-of-Copyrighted-Work Permission Form."

For more information, NEFSC authors should see the NEFSC's online publication policy manual, "Manuscript/abstract/webpage preparation, review, and dissemination: NEFSC author's guide to policy, process, and procedure," located in the Publications/Manuscript Review section of the NEFSC intranet page.

Organization

Manuscripts must have an abstract and table of contents, and (if applicable) lists of figures and tables. As much as possible, use traditional scientific manuscript organization for sections: "Introduction," "Study Area" and/or "Experimental Apparatus," "Methods," "Results," "Discussion," "Conclusions," "Acknowledgments," and "Literature/References Cited."

Style

The CRD series is obligated to conform with the style contained in the current edition of the United States Government Printing Office Style Manual. That style manual is silent on many aspects of scientific manuscripts. The CRD series relies more on the CSE Style Manual. Manuscripts should be prepared to conform with these style manuals.

The CRD series uses the American Fisheries Society's guides to names of fishes, mollusks, and decapod

crustaceans, the Society for Marine Mammalogy's guide to names of marine mammals, the Biosciences Information Service's guide to serial title abbreviations, and the ISO's (International Standardization Organization) guide to statistical terms.

For in-text citation, use the name-date system. A special effort should be made to ensure that all necessary bibliographic information is included in the list of cited works. Personal communications must include date, full name, and full mailing address of the contact.

Preparation

Once your document has cleared the review process, the Editorial Office will contact you with publication needs – for example, revised text (if necessary) and separate digital figures and tables if they are embedded in the document. Materials may be submitted to the Editorial Office as files on zip disks or CDs, email attachments, or intranet downloads. Text files should be in Microsoft Word, tables may be in Word or Excel, and graphics files may be in a variety of formats (JPG, GIF, Excel, PowerPoint, etc.).

Production and Distribution

The Editorial Office will perform a copy-edit of the document and may request further revisions. The Editorial Office will develop the inside and outside front covers, the inside and outside back covers, and the title and bibliographic control pages of the document.

Once both the PDF (print) and Web versions of the CRD are ready, the Editorial Office will contact you to review both versions and submit corrections or changes before the document is posted online.

A number of organizations and individuals in the Northeast Region will be notified by e-mail of the availability of the document online.

Research Communications Branch
Northeast Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA
166 Water St.
Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026

**MEDIA
MAIL**

Publications and Reports of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center

The mission of NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is "stewardship of living marine resources for the benefit of the nation through their science-based conservation and management and promotion of the health of their environment." As the research arm of the NMFS's Northeast Region, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) supports the NMFS mission by "conducting ecosystem-based research and assessments of living marine resources, with a focus on the Northeast Shelf, to promote the recovery and long-term sustainability of these resources and to generate social and economic opportunities and benefits from their use." Results of NEFSC research are largely reported in primary scientific media (*e.g.*, anonymously-peer-reviewed scientific journals). However, to assist itself in providing data, information, and advice to its constituents, the NEFSC occasionally releases its results in its own media. Currently, there are three such media:

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports of long-term field or lab studies of important species or habitats; synthesis reports for important species or habitats; annual reports of overall assessment or monitoring programs; manuals describing program-wide surveying or experimental techniques; literature surveys of important species or habitat topics; proceedings and collected papers of scientific meetings; and indexed and/or annotated bibliographies. All issues receive internal scientific review and most issues receive technical and copy editing.

Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports on field and lab studies; progress reports on experiments, monitoring, and assessments; background papers for, collected abstracts of, and/or summary reports of scientific meetings; and simple bibliographies. Issues receive internal scientific review and most issues receive copy editing.

Resource Survey Report (formerly *Fishermen's Report*) -- This information report is a regularly-issued, quick-turnaround report on the distribution and relative abundance of selected living marine resources as derived from each of the NEFSC's periodic research vessel surveys of the Northeast's continental shelf. This report undergoes internal review, but receives no technical or copy editing.

TO OBTAIN A COPY of a *NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE* or a *Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document*, either contact the NEFSC Editorial Office (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026; 508-495-2350) or consult the NEFSC webpage on "Reports and Publications" (<http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>). To access *Resource Survey Report*, consult the Ecosystem Surveys Branch webpage (<http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/femad/ecosurvey/mainpage/>).

ANY USE OF TRADE OR BRAND NAMES IN ANY NEFSC PUBLICATION OR REPORT DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSEMENT.